



ON RIEMANNIAN CONNECTIONS AND SEMI-SIMPLICITY OF A LIE ALGEBRA

MANELO ANONA

Abstract. Using a almost product structure defined by a spray, we give a necessary and sufficient condition, for a linear connection with vanishing torsion to be Riemannian and, for the semi-simplicity of Lie algebra of projectable vector fields which commute with a spray. In the general case, we propose some properties that allow recognizing a semi-simple Lie algebra

1. INTRODUCTION

The object of this paper is a review and a complement of our results in [1], [2], [3] and [4]. All considered objects are smooth. Let M be a connected paracompact differentiable manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, J the vector 1-form defining the tangent structure, C the Liouville field on the tangent space TM , S a spray. We denote $\Gamma = [J, S]$, Γ is an almost product structure: $\Gamma^2 = I$, I being the identity vector 1-form. We can consider Γ [9] as a linear connection with vanishing torsion. The curvature of Γ is then the Nijenhuis tensor of h , $R = \frac{1}{2}[h, h]$, with $h = \frac{I+\Gamma}{2}$. We will give some properties of R . We then study a linear connection coming from a metric. At the end, we are interested in the Lie algebra $A_S = \{X \in \chi(TM) \mid [X, S] = 0\}$, where $\chi(TM)$ denotes the set of all vector fields on TM .

Keywords and phrases: Differentiable manifold, Infinitesimal isometry, Lie algebra, Nijenhuis tensor, Riemannian manifold, Spray

(2020)Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53XX; Secondary 17B66, 53B05, 53C08

Received: 04.11.2024. In revised form: 12.04.2025. Accepted: 11.03.2025

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall the bracket of two vectors 1-form K and L on a manifold M [8],

$$\begin{aligned} [K, L](X, Y) = & [KX, LY] + [LX, KY] + KL[X, Y] + LK[X, Y] - K[LX, Y] \\ & - L[KX, Y] - K[X, LY] - L[X, KY] \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

The bracket $N_L = \frac{1}{2}[L, L]$ is called the Nijenhuis tensor of L . The Lie derivative L_X with respect to X applied to L can be written

$$[X, L]Y = [X, LY] - L[X, Y].$$

The exterior derivation d_L is defined in [5]: $d_L = [i_L, d]$.

Let Γ be an almost product structure. We denote

$$h = \frac{1}{2}(I + \Gamma) \text{ and } v = \frac{1}{2}(I - \Gamma),$$

The vector 1-form h is the horizontal projector, projector of the subspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $+1$, and v the vertical projector corresponding to the eigenvalue -1 . The curvature of Γ is defined by $R = \frac{1}{2}[h, h]$, which is also equal to $\frac{1}{8}[\Gamma, \Gamma]$.

The Lie algebra A_Γ is defined by

$$A_\Gamma = \{X \in \chi(TM) \text{ such that } [X, \Gamma] = 0\}.$$

The nullity space of the curvature R is:

$$N_R = \{X \in \chi(TM) \text{ such that } R(X, Y) = 0, \forall Y \in \chi(TM)\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A second order differential equation on a manifold M is a vector field S on the tangent space TM such that $JS = C$.

Such a vector field on TM is also called a semi-spray on M , S is a spray on M if S is homogeneous of degree 1: $[C, S] = S$.

In what follows, we use the notation in [9] and [15] to express a geodesic spray of a linear connection. In local natural coordinates on an open set U of M , (x^i, y^j) are the coordinates in TU , a spray S is written

$$S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.$$

For a connection $\Gamma = [J, S]$, the coefficients of Γ become $\Gamma_i^j = \frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^i}$ and the projector horizontal is

$$h(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \quad h(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}) = 0$$

the projector vertical

$$v(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) = \Gamma_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}, \quad v(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}$$

The curvature $R = \frac{1}{2}[h, h]$ become

$$\begin{aligned} R = \frac{1}{2} R_{ij}^k dx^i \wedge dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \text{ with } R_{ij}^k = \frac{\partial \Gamma_i^k}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_j^k}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma_i^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_j^k}{\partial y^l} - \Gamma_j^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_i^k}{\partial y^l}, \\ i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

As the functions G^k are homogeneous of degree 2, the coefficients $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{\partial^2 G^k}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ do not depend on y^i , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We then have $R_{ij}^k = y^l R_{l,ij}^k(x)$, the $R_{l,ij}^k(x)$ depend only on the coordinates of the manifold M .

3. PROPERTIES OF CURVATURE R

Proposition 3.1 ([14]). *The horizontal nullity space of the curvature R is involutive. The elements of A_Γ are projectable vector fields.*

Proof. From the expression of the curvature R and taking into account $h^2 = h$, we have

$$R(hX, Y) = v[hX, hY],$$

If $hX \in N_R$, we obtain $v[hX, hY] = 0 \ \forall Y \in \chi(TM)$.

Using the Jacobi Identity, for all hX and $hY \in N_R$, we find $v[[hX, hY], hZ] = 0 \ \forall Z \in \chi(TM)$. As we have $h[hX, hY] = [hX, hY]$, the horizontal nullity space of the curvature R is involutive.

We notice that $A_\Gamma = A_h = A_v$.

For $X \in A_h$, we obtain

$$[X, hY] = h[X, Y] \ \forall Y \in \chi(TM).$$

If Y is a vertical vector field, we have $h[X, Y] = 0$. This means that X is a projectable vector field.

Proposition 3.2 ([1]). *Let X be a projectable vector field. The two following relations are equivalent*

- i) $[hX, J] = 0$
- ii) $[JX, h] = 0$

Proof. See proposition 3 of [1].

Proposition 3.3 ([3]). *We assume that hN_R is generated as a module by projectable vector fields. If the rank of the nullity space hN_R of the curvature R is constant, there exists a local basis of hN_R satisfying Proposition 3.2.*

Proof. See proposition 4 of [3].

4. RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Given a function E from $\mathcal{T}M = TM - \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^+ , with $E(0) = 0$, \mathcal{C}^∞ on $\mathcal{T}M$, \mathcal{C}^2 on the null section, homogeneous of degree two, such that $dd_J E$ has a maximal rank. The function E defines a Riemannian manifold on M . The map E is called an energy function, its fundamental form $\Omega = dd_J E$ defines a spray S by $i_S dd_J E = -dE$ [10], the derivation i_S being the inner product with respect to S . The vector 1-form $\Gamma = [J, S]$ is called the canonical connection [9]. The fundamental form Ω defines a metric g on the vertical bundle by $g(JX, JY) = \Omega(JX, Y)$, for all $X, Y \in \chi(TM)$. There is [9], one and only one metric lift D of the canonical connection such that:

$$\begin{aligned} J\mathbb{T}(hX, hY) &= 0, \quad \mathbb{T}(JX, JY) = 0 \quad (\mathbb{T}(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y]); \\ DJ &= 0; \quad DC = v; \quad D\Gamma = 0; \quad Dg = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The linear connection D is called Cartan connection. We have

$$D_{JX}JY = [J, JY]X, \quad D_{hX}JY = [h, JY]X.$$

From the linear connection D , we associate a curvature

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = D_{hX}D_{hY}JZ - D_{hY}D_{hX}JZ - D_{[hX, hY]}JZ$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(TM)$. The relationship between the curvature \mathcal{R} and R is

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = J[Z, R(X, Y)] - [JZ, R(X, Y)] + R([JZ, X], Y) + R(X, [JZ, Y]).$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(TM)$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)S = -R(X, Y).$$

In natural local coordinates on an open set U of M , $(x^i, y^j) \in TU$, the energy function is written

$$E = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n)y^iy^j,$$

where $g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ are symmetric positive functions such that the matrix $(g_{ij}(x^1, \dots, x^n))$ is invertible. And the relation $i_S dd_J E = -dE$ gives the spray S

$$S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

with $G_k = \frac{1}{2}y^iy^j\gamma_{ikj}$,

where $\gamma_{ikj} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k})$ and $\gamma_{ij}^k = g^{kl}\gamma_{ilj}$.

We have $G^k = \frac{1}{2}y^iy^j\gamma_{ij}^k$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let E be an energy function, Γ a connection such that $\Gamma = [J, S]$. The following two relationship are equivalent:*

- i) $i_S dd_J E = -dE$;
- ii) $d_h E = 0$.

Proof. See proposition 1 [3].

Proposition 4.2. *For a connection satisfying the Proposition 4.1, the scalar 1-form $d_v E$ is completely integrable.*

Proof. The Kernel of $d_v E$ is formed by vector fields belonging to the horizontal space Imh ($v \circ h = 0$) and vertical vector fields JY such that $L_{JY}E = 0$, $Y \in Imh$, taking into account $vJ = J$.

As we have

$$[hX, hY] = h[hX, hY] + v[hX, hY] = h[hX, hY] + R(X, Y),$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(TM)$, and that $d_h E = 0$ implies $d_R E = 0$. We obtain

$$[hX, hY] \in Ker d_v E.$$

Its remains to show that $L_{v[hX, JY]}E = 0 \forall X \in Imh$ and, $Y \in Imh$ satisfying $L_{JY}E = 0$. This is immediate since we have $v = I - h$.

Proposition 4.3. *On a Riemannian manifold (M, E) , the horizontal nullity space hN_R of the curvature R is generated as a module by projectable vector fields belonging to hN_R and, orthogonal to the image space ImR of the curvature R and $hN_R = hN_{\mathcal{R}}$.*

Proof. If $R^\circ = i_S R$ is zero, then the curvature R is zero; in this case, the horizontal space Imh is the horizontal nullity space of R , isomorphic to $\chi(U)$, U being a open set of M [5].

In what follows, we assume that $R^\circ \neq 0$. According to relation (4.2) of [3], $JX \perp ImR \iff \mathcal{R}(S, X)Y = 0 \ \forall Y \in \chi(TM)$. We obtain $R(X, Y) = R^\circ([JY, X]) \ \forall Y \in \chi(TM)$. As R is a semi-basic vector 2-form, the above relation is only possible if $X = S$ or if $X \in hN_R$, then X is generated as a module by projectable vector fields belonging to hN_R . We get $hN_R = hN_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Gamma = [J, S]$ be a linear connection. The connection Γ comes from a energy function if and only if*

- (1) *there is an energy function E_0 such that $d_R E_0 = 0$;*
- (2) *the scalar 1-form $d_v E_0$ is completely integrable.*

Then, there exist a constant $\varphi(x)$ on the bundle such that $e^{\varphi(x)} E_0$ is the energy function of Γ .

Proof. Both conditions are necessary according to the Proposition 4.1 and 4.2.

Conversely, let E_0 be an energy function such that $d_R E_0 = 0$. We will show that, there exist a constant φ function on the bundle such that $d_h(e^\varphi E_0) = 0$.

The equation is equivalent to

$$d\varphi = -\frac{1}{E_0} d_h E_0.$$

The condition of integrability of such an equation is

$$d\left(\frac{1}{E_0}\right) \wedge d_h E_0 + \frac{1}{E_0} dd_h E_0 = 0,$$

namely

$$dd_h E_0 = \frac{dE_0}{E_0} \wedge d_h E_0.$$

As $d_v E_0$ is completely integrable, we have, according to Frobenius theorem,

$$dd_v E_0 \wedge d_v E_0 = 0$$

Applying the inner product i_C to the above equality, we get

$$dd_v E_0 = \frac{dE_0}{E_0} \wedge d_v E_0,$$

that is to say

$$dd_h E_0 = \frac{dE_0}{E_0} \wedge d_h E_0.$$

This is the condition of integrability sought.

For more information see [3].

5. LIE ALGEBRA DEFINED BY SPRAY

Let $A_S = \{X \in \chi(TM) \text{ such that } [X, S] = 0\}$. By developing the calculation $[X, S] = 0$, we note that the projectable elements of A_S are, on an open set U of M , of the form:

$$X = X^i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + y^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.$$

Denoting $\overline{\chi(M)}$ the complete lift of the vector fields $\chi(M)$ on TM , the projectable elements of A_S are in $A_S \cap \overline{\chi(M)}$. The geodesic spray of a linear connection is defined locally by

$$\ddot{x}^i = -\Gamma_{jk}^i \dot{x}^j \dot{x}^k.$$

A result from [12] shows that the dimension of the Lie algebra $\overline{A_S}$ is at most equal to $n^2 + n$. If the dimension $\overline{A_S}$ equal to $n^2 + n$, then (M, S) is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^n, Z_\lambda)$ for a unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, Z_λ is given by the equations $\ddot{x}^i = \lambda \dot{x}^i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. This condition is equivalent to the nullity of the curvature R of Γ cf.[5]. We can see this property on example 5 of [4]. In the following, we are interested in the nature of the algebra $\overline{A_S}$. By associating the equality $[\overline{X}, S] = 0$ with the tangent structure J using the Jacobi identity [8], we can write

$$[[\overline{X}, S], J] + [[S, J], \overline{X}] + [[J, \overline{X}], S] = 0.$$

Taking into account the hypothesis $[\overline{X}, S] = 0$ and a result of [11]: $[J, \overline{X}] = 0$, we find

$$[\overline{X}, \Gamma] = 0 \text{ with } \Gamma = [J, S].$$

We notice that $[C, J] = -J$ and $[C, \overline{X}] = 0$, we then take $\Gamma = [J, S]$ with $[C, S] = S$.

The 1-vector form Γ is a linear connection without torsion in the sense of [9].

Proposition 5.1 ([1]). *The Lie algebra $\overline{A_S}$ coincides with $\overline{A_\Gamma} = A_\Gamma \cap \overline{\chi(M)}$.*

Proof. See proposition 9 of [1].

Proposition 5.2. [14] *Let H° denote the set of projectable horizontal vector fields and $A_\Gamma \cap H^\circ = A_\Gamma^h$, then we have $A_\Gamma^h = N_R \cap H^\circ$ and A_Γ^h is an ideal of A_Γ .*

Proof. The curvature R is written, for all $X, Y \in \chi(TM)$

$$R(X, Y) = v[hX, hY].$$

If $hX \in A_\Gamma^h$, we have $R(X, Y) = v \circ h[hX, Y] = 0, \forall Y \in \chi(TM)$. That means $X \in N_R$.

The curvature R is written, for all $X, Y \in \chi(TM)$

$$R(X, Y) = [hX, hY] + h^2[X, Y] - h[hX, Y] - h[X, hY].$$

If $X \in N_R \cap H^\circ$, given $hX = X$ and $R(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \chi(TM)$, we find $[X, hY] = h[X, hY]$.

If Y is a vertical vector field, the above equality still holds, because it is zero.

For the ideal A_Γ^h , it is immediate from the expression of A_Γ .

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\overline{A_\Gamma}^h = A_\Gamma^h \cap \overline{A_\Gamma}$, the set of the horizontal vector fields $\overline{A_\Gamma}^h$ form a commutative ideal of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$. The dimension of $\overline{A_\Gamma}^h$ corresponds to the dimension of A_Γ^h if the rank of A_Γ^h is constant.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, A_Γ^h is an ideal of A_Γ , so $\overline{A_\Gamma^h} = A_\Gamma^h \cap \overline{\chi(M)}$ is an ideal of $\overline{A_\Gamma} = A_\Gamma \cap \overline{\chi(M)}$, moreover $v[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}] = 0$, for all $\overline{X}, \overline{Y} \in \overline{A_\Gamma^h}$. Propositions 3.2 and 2 of [1] give $J[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}] = 0$, for all $\overline{X}, \overline{Y} \in \overline{A_\Gamma^h}$, noting that $[J, \Gamma] = 0$. The horizontal and vertical parts of $[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$ are therefore zero, that is, $[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}] = 0$.

The existence of such an element of $\overline{A_\Gamma^h}$ is given by the proposition 3.3.

6. CASE OF CONSTANT VALUES OF $\overline{A_\Gamma}$

If we expand the equation $[\overline{X}, S] = 0$ with $S = [C, S]$, we get

$$X^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{il}^k + \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^i} \Gamma_{lj}^k + \frac{\partial^2 X^k}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^l} \Gamma_{ij}^l = 0.$$

we note that the constant values of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ verify

$$(2) \quad X^l \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^l} = 0$$

Proposition 6.1. *Let Γ be a linear connection without torsion. If the constant vector fields of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ form a commutative ideal of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$, they are at most the constant elements of an ideal I of affine vector fields containing these constants such that for all $\overline{X} \in \overline{A_\Gamma}$, \overline{X} is written $\overline{X} = \overline{X}_1 + \overline{X}_2$ with $\overline{X}_2 \in I$ and that $[\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2] = 0$, the derived ideal of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ never coincides with $\overline{A_\Gamma}$.*

Proof.

1st case:: The functions G^k do not depend on some coordinates in an open set U of M . To simplify, quite to change the numbering order of the coordinates, the spray S is such that $\frac{\partial G^k}{\partial x^{p+1}} = 0, \dots, \frac{\partial G^k}{\partial x^n} = 0$, $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $1 \leq p \leq n-1$. Then, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{p+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \in \overline{A_\Gamma}(U)$.

For any $\overline{X} \in \overline{A_\Gamma}(U)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X} &= X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + y^j \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^p (X^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} + y^j \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}) + \sum_{r=p+1}^n (X^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + y^j \frac{\partial X^r}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^r}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

For the Lie sub-algebra generated by $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{p+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\}$ form an ideal of $\overline{A_\Gamma}(U)$, we must have $[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^h}, \overline{X}]$ belong to this ideal for all $h, p+1 \leq h \leq n$.

That implies $\frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^h} = 0$, for all l such that $1 \leq l \leq p$ and for all h such that $p+1 \leq h \leq n$.

We have $X^r = a_s^r x^s + b^r$, $p+1 \leq r, s \leq n$; $a_s^r, b^r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X}_1 &= \sum_{l=1}^p (X^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} + y^j \frac{\partial X^l}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \\ \overline{X}_2 &= \sum_{r=p+1}^n (a_s^r x^s + b^r) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} + a_s^r y^s \frac{\partial}{\partial y^r}, \quad p+1 \leq s \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

An element $\bar{X} \in \bar{A}_\Gamma$, \bar{X} is written $\bar{X} = \bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2$ with $[\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2] = 0$.

2nd case:: The elements of \bar{A}_Γ are of the form $a^l \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}$, $l \in \{1, \dots, p\}$.

The decomposition of the elements of \bar{A}_Γ amounts to the same way.

In any case, the derived ideal of \bar{A}_Γ never coincides with \bar{A}_Γ .

Theorem 6.1. *The Lie algebra \bar{A}_Γ is semi-simple if and only if the horizontal and projectable vector fields of the nullity space of the curvature R is zero and the derived ideal of \bar{A}_Γ coincides with \bar{A}_Γ .*

Proof. If the Lie algebra \bar{A}_Γ is semi-simple, any commutative ideal of \bar{A}_Γ reduces to zero by definition. According to the proposition 5.3, the horizontal and projectable vector fields of the nullity space of the curvature R of Γ is zero. The derived ideal of \bar{A}_Γ coincides with \bar{A}_Γ by a classical result.

Conversely, if $\bar{X} \in \bar{A}_\Gamma$, we have $[\bar{X}, h] = 0$. According to the Jacobi Identity cf.[8] $[\bar{X}, [h, h]] = 0$, ie. $[\bar{X}, R] = 0$. We can write $[\bar{X}, R(Y, Z)] = R([\bar{X}, Y], Z) + R(Y, [\bar{X}, Z])$, for all $Y, Z \in \chi(TM)$. If \bar{X} and \bar{Y} are elements of a commutative ideal of \bar{A}_Γ , we find

$$(3) \quad [\bar{X}, R(\bar{Y}, Z)] = R(\bar{Y}, [\bar{X}, Z]), \quad \forall Z \in \chi(TM).$$

If the horizontal and projectable vector fields of the nullity space of the curvature R is zero, the semi-basic vector 2-form R is non-degenerate on $\chi(M) \times \chi(TM)$. The only possible case for the equations (3) is that the commutative ideal of \bar{A}_Γ is at most formed by constant vector fields of \bar{A}_Γ , according to the proposition 6.1, the derived ideal of \bar{A}_Γ never coincides with \bar{A}_Γ if this ideal formed by constant vector fields is not zero.

Example 6.1. *We take $M = \mathbb{R}^3$, a spray S :*

$$S = y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - 2(e^{x^3}(y^1)^2 + y^2 y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}.$$

and the linear connection $\Gamma = [J, S]$. The non-zero coefficients of Γ are

$$\Gamma_1^1 = 2e^{x^3} y^1, \quad \Gamma_2^1 = y^3, \quad \Gamma_3^1 = y^2.$$

A base of the horizontal space of Γ is written

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} - 2e^{x^3} y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}. \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal nullity space of the curvature is generated as a module by

$$(y^1 - y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} - y^1 y^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}.$$

The horizontal nullity space is not generated as a module by projectable vector fields in hN_R . This linear connection according to the proposition 4.3 cannot come from an energy function.

The Lie algebra $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ is generated as Lie algebra by:

$$g_1 = x^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} + y^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} + y^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}, \quad g_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \quad g_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}.$$

The Lie algebra $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ is that of affine vector fields containing the commutative ideal $\{g_2, g_3\}$.

7. LIE ALGEBRAS OF INFINITESIMAL ISOMETRIES

Definition 7.1. A vector field X on a Riemannian manifold (M, E) is called infinitesimal automorphism of the symplectic form Ω if $L_X \Omega = 0$.

The set of infinitesimal automorphisms of Ω forms a Lie algebra. We denote this Lie algebra by A_g , in general of infinite dimension.

Theorem 7.1. We denote $\overline{A_g} = A_g \cap \overline{\chi(M)}$. The Lie algebra $\overline{A_g}$ is semi-simple if and only if the horizontal nullity space of the Nijenhuis tensor of Γ is zero and, the derived ideal of $\overline{A_g}$ coincides with $\overline{A_g}$.

Proof. This is the application of proposition 4.3 and theorem 6.1. For more information, see [2] and [4].

Example 7.1. We take $M = \mathbb{R}^4$ and the energy function is written:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(e^{x^3}(y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2 + e^{x^1}(y^3)^2 + e^{x^2}(y^4)^2).$$

The non-zero linear connection coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1^1 &= \frac{y^3}{2}, \quad \Gamma_3^1 = -\frac{y^3 e^{x^1-x^3} - y^1}{2}, \quad \Gamma_4^2 = -\frac{y^4 e^{x^2}}{2}, \\ \Gamma_1^3 &= -\frac{y^1 e^{x^3-x^1} - y^3}{2}, \quad \Gamma_3^3 = \frac{y^1}{2}, \quad \Gamma_2^4 = \frac{y^4}{2}, \quad \Gamma_4^4 = \frac{y^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The horizontal nullity space of the curvature is zero.

The Lie algebra $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ is generated as Lie algebra by:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} - (-e^{-x^2} + \frac{(x^4)^2}{4}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} + y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2} - (\frac{x^4 y^4}{2} + y^2 e^{-x^2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^4}, \\ g_2 &= -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + x^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} + y^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^4}, \quad g_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^4}, \quad g_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that g_4 is the center of $\overline{A_\Gamma}$ corresponding to the second case of the proposition 6.1, while the Lie algebra $\overline{A_g}$ is generated as a Lie algebra by g_1, g_2, g_3 . The Lie algebra $\overline{A_g}$ is simple and isomorphic to $sl(2)$.

8. FINITE DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRA

In this section, we consider only a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{K} of zero characteristic class. The notions and notations are those of [7].

Theorem 8.1. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple if and only if the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple and the derived ideal of \mathfrak{g} coincides with \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. The condition is necessary, see Lemma 1 p.72 [7].

Conversely, if the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple, by definition, the Lie algebra is reductive. By Proposition 5 b) p.78 [7], the derived ideal of \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple. Since $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, then \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple.

Theorem 8.2. *The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple if and only if the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple and the center of \mathfrak{g} is reduced to $\{0\}$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of proposition 5 g) p.78 [7].

Theorem 8.3. *The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple if and only if the derived ideal coincides with \mathfrak{g} , any derivation is inner and the radical of \mathfrak{g} is a commutative ideal.*

Proof. The necessary conditions are well known.

Conversely, let \mathfrak{r} be the radical of \mathfrak{g} which is a commutative ideal by hypothesis. Let $e_1, \dots, e_p, e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n$ be a base of \mathfrak{g} such that e_1, \dots, e_p ($p < n$) belong to \mathfrak{r} and e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n a basis of a Levi subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . By defining a linear map D such that $D(e_i) = e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, and $D(e_{p+1}) = 0, \dots, D(e_n) = 0$, the map D is a derivation of \mathfrak{g} , its trace function is equal to p . If $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} belongs to $sl(\mathfrak{g})$ which is semi-simple [7] p.71. Its trace function is zero. We end up with a contradiction if $\mathfrak{r} \neq 0$ and if the derivation D is inner.

Remark 8.1. *We can see such reasoning in an example [4] §5.*

Remark 8.2. *For Lie algebras of countable dimension, see our results in [13].*

Remark 8.3. *The radical of the Lie algebra of the example [6] is not commutative.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Anona, M., *Semi-simplicity of a Lie algebra of isometries*, J. Generalized Lie Theory Appl., **14(2)(2020)** 1–10.
- [2] Anona, M., *Notes on semi-simplicity of a Lie algebra of isometries.*, J. Generalized Lie Theory Appl., **13(2)(2020)** 1–3.
- [3] Anona, M. and Ratovoarimanana, H., *On existence of a Riemannian manifolds at a given connection*, J. Generalized Lie Theory Appl., **14(3)(2020)** 1–6.
- [4] Anona, M. and Ratovoarimanana, H., *On Lie algebras associated with a spray*, Commun. Math., **30(2)(2022)** 13–23.
- [5] Anona, M. and Ravelonirina, H. S. G., *On the nullity of nienhuis torsion of a vector valued 1-form*, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **48(2022)** 251–276.
- [6] Benayadi, S., *Structure of perfect Lie algebras without center and outer derivations*, Ann. Fac. Sciences Toulouse, 6ème série **5(2)(1996)** 203–231.
- [7] Bourbaki, N., *Groupes et algèbres de Lie chap. I*, Springer, 1972.
- [8] Frölicher, A. and Nijenhuis, A., *Theory of vector-valued differential form*, Proc. Kond. Ned. Akad., **A59(1956)** 338–359.
- [9] Grifone, J., *Structure presque-tangente et connexions I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, **22(1)(1972)** 287–334.

- [10] Klein, J. and Voutier, A., *Formes extérieures génératrices de sprays* , Ann. Inst. Fourier, **18(1)(1968)** 241–260.
- [11] Lehmann Lejeune, J., *Cohomologie sur le fibré transverse à un feuilletage* , Astérisque, **16(1984)** 149–179.
- [12] Loos, O., *Automorphisms groups of second order differential equations* , Inst. für Math, Univ. Innsbruck, **96(1983)** 195–207.
- [13] Randriambololondrantomalala, P., Ravelonirina, H. S. G. and Anona, M., *Sur les algèbres de Lie des champs de vecteurs polynomiaux* , Afr. Diaspora J. Math., **10(2010)** 87–95.
- [14] Randriambololondrantomalala, P., Ravelonirina, H. S. G. and Anona, M., *Sur les algèbres de Lie associées à une connexion* , Can. Math. Bull., **58(4)(2015)** 692–703.
- [15] Rund, H., *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces* , Springer, Berlin, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF ANTANANARIVO
ANTANANARIVO, MADAGASCAR
E-mail address: mfanona@yahoo.fr